LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U. S.

Office-Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
AUG 19 1964
JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1964

No. 406

CHARLES A. CASAGRANDE, also known as CHARLES A. GRANDE,

Petitioner,

—v.—

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

MICHAEL P. DIRENZO
Counsel for Petitioner
253 Broadway
New York, N. Y.

32 CASE PRESS INC., 421 Hudson St., N.Y.—ORegon 5-4540

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1964

No.

CHARLES A. CASAGRANDE, also known as
CHARLES A. GRANDE,
Petitioner,

—v.—

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Respondent.

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

Charles A. Casagrande, also known as Charles A. Grande, prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, entered in a case entitled *United States of America* v. *Gerardo A. Re, a/k/a Jerry A. Re, Gerard F. Re, Charles A. Casagrande, a/k/a Charles A. Grande* and *Ely Batkin* on July 24, 1964.

Citations to Opinions Below

There was no opinion in the District Court. The opinion of the Court of Appeals is set forth in *Appendix A* to the petition of Jerry A. Re, and is not yet officially reported.

Jurisdiction

The Judgment of the Court of Appeals was entered on July 24, 1964 (see *Appendix B*, Petition of Jerry A. Re). The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. Section 1254(1).

Questions Presented, Statutes Involved and Statement of the Case

In order to avoid unnecessary duplication, the petitioner respectfully refers this Court to the petitions submitted by Jerry A. Re and Gerard F. Re for the questions presented, statutes involved and statement of the case.

Reasons for Granting the Writ

The petitioner adopts the questions presented and every reason for granting certiorari submitted by his co-defendants insofar as they may be applicable to his conviction under Count Two of the indictment, particularly the arguments presented in the petition of Gerard F. Re relating to the interpretation of 15 U.S.C. § 77e(a)(1).

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully urged that the petition for certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL P. DIRENZO
Counsel for Petitioner

August, 1964